Approved as Amended: April 30, 2003

# CITY OF MORGAN HILL JOINT SPECIAL AND REGULAR CITY COUNCIL AND SPECIAL REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY MEETING MINUTES – APRIL 16, 2003

### **CALL TO ORDER**

Mayor/Chairperson Kennedy called the special meeting to order at 6:02 P.M.

## **ROLL CALL ATTENDANCE**

Present: Mayor/Agency Chairperson Kennedy

Council/Agency Members Carr, Chang, Sellers, and Tate.

### **DECLARATION OF POSTING OF AGENDA**

Deputy City Clerk Malone certified that the meeting's agenda was duly noticed and posted in accordance with Government Code 54954.2.

# City Council and Redevelopment Agency Action

## **CLOSED SESSIONS:**

1.

#### CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL - ANTICIPATED LITIGATION

Significant Exposure/Initiation of Litigation

Authority: Government Code Sections 54956.9(b) & (c)

Number of Potential Cases: 2

2

# CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL (POTENTIAL LITIGATION) & CONFERENCE WITH REAL

PROPERTY NEGOTIATORS

Legal Authority: Government Code 54956.8

Property: 700 Jarvis Drive

**Negotiating Parties:** 

For City: City Manager

For Property Owners: William Schwerm, Broker Closed Session Topic/Under Negotiation: Price and Terms of Payment

3.

# CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL (POTENTIAL LITIGATION) & CONFERENCE WITH REAL PROPERTY NEGOTIATORS

Legal Authority: Government Code 54956.8 & 54946.9(c)

Property: APN 825-06-002, 003, 029, 030; 36.6 acres (Railroad/Maple -

Butterfield Retention Basin Site)

Negotiating Parties:

For City: City Manager; Public Works Director; City Attorney; Gale Conner,

special counsel

For Property Owners: Costa Family Partners

Closed Session Topic/Under Negotiation: Potential Litigation & Price and Terms of Payment

City of Morgan Hill Joint Special & Regular City Council and Special Redevelopment Agency Meeting Minutes – April 16, 2003 Page - 2 –

4.

#### CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL - EXISTING LITIGATION

Legal Authority: Government Code Section 54965.9(a)

Case Name: Santa Clara Valley Audubon Society v. City of Morgan Hill Santa Clara County Superior Court, No. CV 815655

Attendees: City Council, City Manager, City Attorney

#### **OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT**

Mayor/ Chairperson Kennedy opened the Closed Session items to public comment. No comment being offered, the public comment was closed.

## **ADJOURN TO CLOSED SESSION**

Mayor/Chairperson Kennedy adjourned the meeting to Closed Session at 6:03 P.M.

### **RECONVENE**

Mayor/Chairperson Kennedy reconvened the meeting at 7:07 P.M.

#### **CLOSED SESSION ANNOUNCEMENT**

City Attorney/ Agency Counsel Leichter announced there were no reportable actions, and the closed session discussions were completed for this date.

### **SILENT INVOCATION**

Mayor/Chairperson Kennedy invited all to join in a silent invocation.

### **PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

Mayor/Chairperson Kennedy extended an invitation to City Treasurer Roorda to lead the Pledge of Allegiance.

### **CITY COUNCIL REPORT**

Council Member Tate presented the City Council report, and stated that the Library Grant Application is in the second round. He reported that the City submitted the application on March 26, and that they feel they had come up with some great ideas for the second round application. The State had expected to receive at least 150 applications, but only 76 were submitted; which is good news. Thanked everyone for their support of the second round application.

City of Morgan Hill Joint Special & Regular City Council and Special Redevelopment Agency Meeting Minutes – April 16, 2003 Page - 3 –

He also reported on the Joint Powers Authority agreement for the Library. The library has experienced a 15% increase in activity, and they are working to figure out how to increase productivity to meet the new demands with lower staffing levels. He encouraged everyone to use the self checkout machines.

Currently there is an assessment on property tax bills for the library, and they are looking at an election in March 2004 to extend Measure A funding for library support.

Youth Empowered for Success (YES) will be holding a meeting on April 28 at the Community and Cultural Center in the El Toro room to provide an in-depth presentation of the 40 developmental assets in support of the local youth.

May 3 and 4, 2003, the Relay for Life rally will be held to raise funds to fight cancer. He invited anyone who wishes to participate or pledge to give him a call.

#### CITY COUNCIL SUBCOMMITTEE REPORTS

Council Member Chang reported on the MTC-ABAG merger, and stated that the merger is coming to a halt at this time. The issue will be discussed again in January 2004.

She also reported that she testified in favor of AB218 for senior housing projects to be counted in the Housing Element; and that AB1160 had passed the assembly. She requested support for AB 980.

Ms. Chang also reported that AB1690, which would change the approval necessary for bond issues for firefighters and public safety from 2/3 vote to a majority vote, has passed the Committee and will be discussed at the League of California Cities at April 24 meeting. She requested that staff prepare an analysis and position paper on this issue.

Council Member Carr reported that the Measure P Task Force met to review comments by the Planning Commission and City Council on the recommendations made by the Task Force. There were only a few that the Council wanted reviewed again, and the Task Force has made headway in coming closer to where the Council is on these issues. He reported that they have also reviewed the public survey that was done, and applied that input as well. Council Member Carr stated that there will be a public forum to go over the Measure P Update and learn the history behind the Measure on April 29, 2003, at 7:00 P.M. in the City Council Chambers.

Mayor Kennedy, reporting on the Urban Limit Line Subcommittee, stated that they have held two meetings to look at establishing a 50-year urban limit line around the City of Morgan Hill, in accordance with the General Plan requirement to study a possible greenbelt around the city. He stated that this is a very broad based committee with many interests represented, and is very balanced. The next meeting will be on the second Monday in May.

Mayor Kennedy also reported that the Valley Transportation Authority (VTA) Financial Ad Hoc Advisory Committee will be presenting their report to the VTA Board of Directors next Friday at a

City of Morgan Hill Joint Special & Regular City Council and Special Redevelopment Agency Meeting Minutes – April 16, 2003 Page - 4 –

workshop. He requested time on the agenda next week to present to Council the suggestions that will be made to the VTA Board.

### **CITY MANAGER REPORT**

City Manager Tewes reported on the budget process stating that during the next two weeks staff will be working to hammer out the recommended budget for Council consideration in about 4 weeks. Work is being done on a five year plan to bring spending in line with revenues, and believes through judicious use of reserves and some budget cuts, the budget can be brought in for a soft landing. However, if the State Legislature and Governor take revenue from us that are not anticipated, it could be much more difficult, and could be a hard landing. Staff are currently working to put together a budget that reflects the local economy and cost structure; and it is not likely we will know what the State will do until later in the summer.

#### **CITY ATTORNEY REPORT**

City Attorney Leichter reported on monthly litigation summary, and stated that Item Number 5, the San Jose Christian College vs. City of Morgan Hill case now has a date set for oral argument hearing before 9<sup>th</sup> Circuit Court of Appeals on May 14, 2003. After the oral arguments, it will take three to six months for an opinion to be issued.

#### **OTHER REPORTS**

City Treasurer Roorda presented the Finance & Audit Quarterly Report. Reported that General Fund Income is at 67% of the total budget for the year, and the expenses are at about 68%, representing a very good matching of revenue to expenses at about three-quarters of the way through the fiscal year. The adjusted budget reflects more expenditures than income for the fiscal year, and currently we are pretty much in that range of budget performance. Budgeted expenditures are \$634,000, and actual expenditures are \$650,000 at this time. He reported that income is running under what was projected in the budget, but city staff has been able to reduce expenditures to a lower level than was projected in the budget. He stated he is optimistic that we will come in close to the budgeted amount by the end of the year.

He expressed concern about a drop in the sales tax revenue for this quarter, and that it is a little bit lower than last year. This could be an area of concern going forward.

#### ANNOUNCEMENT

Council Member Chang announced that the Morgan Hill Medical Foundation will be holding a fund raiser on May 31. The decision will be made this week about the location, but it will be either at Corde Valle or at the Community and Cultural Center. She asked that people mark their calendars and plan to attend

City of Morgan Hill Joint Special & Regular City Council and Special Redevelopment Agency Meeting Minutes – April 16, 2003 Page - 5 –

#### **PUBLIC COMMENT**

• Ms. Cindy Gobin stated that she was hoping to get on the agenda tonight or at the next Council meeting to request that a test garden be grown using City water to make sure that perchlorate, even though it tests at less than 3 ppb, is not being soaked up in vegetables at a 50 times higher level than the water is testing. Concerned that perchlorate is being fed to our kids at a level of 150 ppb in vegetables. Can this be tested to make sure that it is O.K.?

Mayor Kennedy responded by asking the City Manager to respond to Ms. Gobin following the Public Comment portion of the agenda.

Ms. Yolanda Ramirez, and several other tenants from 14720 Monterey Road, appeared before the Council to report that they are all facing the same problem of being evicted, and requested the Council's assistance in getting time to relocate. They just found out they are going to have to move. Code Enforcement Officer Martin has spoken to them and informed them that there have been meetings with their landlord Dante Baines, but the landlord had not passed on this information to the tenants, and that there are problems with the zoning and with the other problems that were not taken care of by the landlord. The tenants just found this out last week, and are concerned that they are going to be forced to move. They are requesting that they be given two to three months to relocate.

Mayor Kennedy asked that City staff meet with them on this issue.

City Attorney Leichter stated that she is familiar with this situation, and stated that she and the Director of Community Development Bischoff will be happy to meet with them at the break tonight.

Mayor Kennedy asked Ms. Ramirez and the others to remain at the meeting, and meet with the Ms. Leichter and Mr. Bischoff during the break at 8:00 p.m.

Ms. Ramirez agreed to do this, and thanked the Council.

Ms. Virginia Halla addressed the Council about the speeding that occurs on East Dunne Avenue in the 25mph zone at Nordstrom School. Noted that Redwood City has installed signs that read "What don't you understand about 25 miles an hour?", "Traffic School is Boring", "Slow Down", and "Speeding Tickets Available Ahead". There has been a noticeable drop in speed at these specific points. She noted that San Jose has some iridescent school signs that catch the eye immediately. She suggested that the City of Morgan Hill not wait until the last minute before acting, but obtain some of these signs as soon as possible, and before some small child, teacher, or parent is killed.

Mayor Kennedy thanked Ms. Halla for her comments.

There being no further comment, the public comment portion was closed.

City of Morgan Hill Joint Special & Regular City Council and Special Redevelopment Agency Meeting Minutes – April 16, 2003 Page - 6 –

Mayor Kennedy asked the City Manager to address some of the issues that were raised.

City Manager Tewes stated that we have been taking the issue of perchlorate very seriously, and can reassure the community that the water that is being delivered meets all the safety standards established by the State and Federal government; and that periodic testing is done and the results are reported as soon as they are available. He anticipates that at the next Council meeting on April 23 there will be an opportunity to discuss those perchlorate results, and he invited Ms. Gobin to come back for that meeting, which should fulfill her request.

City Manager Tewes thanked Ms. Halla for her comments on the Dunne Avenue speeding. He will pass those on to the Police Chief for enforcement, and to the Public Works Department. The city has been looking at the iridescent signs, and they agree that these signs do bring the speed limits to the driver's attention.

# City Council Action

#### **CONSENT CALENDAR:**

Mayor Kennedy requested that items number 3, 6, 11, 12, and 14, 17, 18, 19, 21 and 22 be pulled for comment and separate action.

**Action:** On a motion by Council Member Tate, and seconded by Council Member Sellers, the City

Council unanimously (5-0) Approved Consent Calendar Items 1, 2, 4, 7-10, 13, 15, 16,

20, and 23, as follows:

**Action:** On a motion by Council Member Sellers, and seconded by Council Member Carr, the

City Council (4-0-1, with Tate abstaining) **Approved** Consent Calendar 17 – 19, and 22,

as follows:

1. <u>MARCH 2003 FINANCE & INVESTMENT REPORT</u>
<u>Action: Accepted and Filed Report.</u>

2. RESCHEDULING OF APRIL 2003 GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT REQUESTS

Action: Adopted a Minute Action to Reschedule the April General Plan Review to July

<u>Action:</u> <u>Adopted</u> a Minute Action to Reschedule the April General Plan Review to July 2003.

# 3. <u>DESIGNATION OF VOTING DELEGATE FOR SPECIAL MEETING (MAY 15<sup>TH</sup>) OF THE LEAGUE OF CALIFORNIA CITIES GENERAL ASSEMBLY</u>

<u>Action:</u> On a motion by Council Member Tate, and seconded by Council Member Sellers, the City Council unanimously (5-0) 1) <u>Appointed</u> Mayor Kennedy to serve as Voting Delegate and Council Member Chang to serve as an Alternate to the League of California Cities General

City of Morgan Hill Joint Special & Regular City Council and Special Redevelopment Agency Meeting Minutes – April 16, 2003 Page - 7 –

Assembly Special Meeting of May 15, 2003; and 2) <u>Directed</u> the City Clerk to Execute and Return the Voting Delegate Form to the League's Office.

# 4. <u>TECHNICAL REVISION TO THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT</u> (CDBG) ANNUAL ALLOCATION (FY2003-2004)

<u>Action:</u> 1) <u>Amended</u> and <u>Adopted</u> Resolution No. 5661, Amended for the Allocation of Fiscal Year 2003-2004 Federal CDBG Funds; and 2) <u>Authorized</u> the City Manager to do Everything Necessary for the Implementation of the CDBG Program, Including Processing Any Amendments and Execution of All Required Contracts.

#### 5. AGREEMENT WITH THE LAW FIRM OF HATCH AND PARENT

<u>Action:</u> <u>Authorized</u> the City Manager to Execute an Agreement with the Law Firm of Hatch & Parent.

#### 6. EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENTS WITH CITY MANAGER AND CITY ATTORNEY

Mayor Kennedy commented that he wanted to let the public know the actions being taken by the City Manager and the City Attorney on a voluntary basis to help ease the budget difficulties of the City. He noted that they have both offered to defer the salary increases due to them as part of their contracts, and thanked them for this good and honourable thing they are doing.

<u>Action:</u> On a motion by Council Member Tate, and seconded by Council Member Carr, the City Council unanimously (5-0) <u>Approved</u> Amendments to the Employment Agreements for the City Manager and City Attorney to Defer Salary Adjustments.

## 7. ACCEPTANCE OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS FOR WEST MIDDLE-ROCHA

<u>Action:</u> 1) <u>Adopted</u> Resolution No. 5664, Accepting the Public Improvements for West Middle-Rocha; and 2) <u>Directed</u> the City Clerk to File a Notice of Completion with the County Recorder's Office.

# 8. <u>APPROVE THE FINAL MAP FOR CENTRAL PARK PHASE VI (TRACT 9475)</u>

<u>Action:</u> <u>Authorized</u> the Recordation of the Map, Following Recordation of the Development Improvement Agreement.

# 9. <u>AWARD OF CONTRACT FOR CONSTRUCTION OF BUTTERFIELD BOULEVARD</u> SEWER TRUNK PROJECT

<u>Action: Awarded</u> Contract to California Trenchless, Inc. for the Construction of the Butterfield Boulevard Sewer Trunk Project in the Amount of \$291,882.00.

## 10. FINAL MAP ACCEPTANCE FOR MONTE VISTA PHASE III (TRACT 9478)

<u>Action:</u> 1) <u>Approved</u> the Final Map, Subdivision Agreement, and Improvement Plans; 2) <u>Authorized</u> the City Manager to Sign the Subdivision Improvement Agreement on Behalf of the City; and 3) <u>Authorized</u> the Recordation of the Map and the Subdivision Improvement Agreement Following Recordation of the Development Improvement Agreement. City of Morgan Hill Joint Special & Regular City Council and Special Redevelopment Agency Meeting Minutes – April 16, 2003 Page - 8 –

# 11. <u>ACCEPTANCE OF CONSTRUCTION OF THE WARREN/HALE/NOB HILL</u> <u>TERRACE STREET IMPROVEMENT PROJECT</u>

Council Member Sellers noted that this item came before the Council five years ago, and it has taken some hard work on the part of the Public Works staff to rectify one of the most poorly paved areas of the city, and he wanted to acknowledge their hard work and successful completion of the project.

<u>Action:</u> On a motion by Council Member Tate, and seconded by Council Member Sellers, the City Council unanimously (5-0) 1) <u>Accepted</u> as Complete the Construction of the Warren/Hale/Nob Hill Terrace Street Improvement Project in the Final Amount of \$625,281.00; and 2) <u>Directed</u> the City Clerk to File the Notice of Completion with the County Recorder's Office.

# 12. <u>UPDATE ON DEVELOPMENT PROCESSING SERVICES STUDY</u> IMPLEMENTATION

Council Member Carr stated that he wanted to draw the Council's attention to the fact that the City has already implemented the vast majority of the 39 suggested actions to improve the City's development processing services.

Assistant to the City Manager Dile reported that most of the easiest recommendations and some of those which have the most profound impact on the customers have been implemented, or will be implemented within the next six months. Some were deferred, primarily due to budget reasons, such as the hiring freeze, and cutback on non-essential capital expenditures. Those items with a longer time line are still on track for future implementation. She stated that we are pleased with the progress at this point, and plan to report back to the Council again in the fall.

Council Member Carr noted that there are several of us who have been working on improving development processes in the City, and within six months, 29 of the 39 recommendations will be completed, so we are well on the way to improving services for our customers in Morgan Hill.

<u>Action:</u> On a motion by Council Member Tate, and seconded by Council Member Carr, the City Council unanimously (5-0) 1) <u>Accepted Report</u> on the Implementation of Development Processing Service Recommendations; and 2.) <u>Directed</u> Staff to Report Back on Implementation Status in October 2003.

#### 13. COUNTYWIDE AB 939 IMPLEMENTATION FEE

<u>Action:</u> <u>Directed</u> Staff to Provide Comments to the County.

# 14. <u>ANNUAL REPORT ON ADVANCED LIFE SUPPORT SERVICES AND RENEWAL OF CONTRACT</u>

City of Morgan Hill Joint Special & Regular City Council and Special Redevelopment Agency Meeting Minutes – April 16, 2003 Page - 9 –

Council Member Carr noted that he wanted to point out what great response time we are receiving from ALS.

Assistant to the City Manager Dile reported that when we entered into our contract with them two years ago, the goal was to ensure that there would be dedicated advanced life support services at all times in Morgan Hill. The contract was designed to guarantee an even better response time than what we were already receiving from the County. They have more than adequately met that, not only in this year, but in prior years.

Council Member Carr noted that it was important to note that the ALS services in place in the community are far exceeding what was hoped for when they were put into place, and all those who are working hard to make sure those goals are met should be commended for their hard work.

<u>Action:</u> On a motion by Council Member Carr, and seconded by Council Member Sellers, the City Council unanimously (5-0) <u>Accepted</u> Report from Santa Clara County Fire Department for Advanced Life Support (ALS) Services From April 1, 2002 to February 28, 2003; Thereby Renewing ALS Services for Fiscal Year 2003-2004.

# 15. ADOPT ORD<u>INANCE NO. 1612, NEW SERIES</u>

Action: Waived the Reading, and Adopted Ordinance No. 1612, New Series, and Declared That Said Title, Which Appears on the Public Agenda, Shall be Determined to Have Been Read by Title and Further Reading Waived; Title as Follows: AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MORGAN HILL REPEALING CHAPTER 15.44 (Fire Prevention Code) OF TITLE 15 (BUILDINGS AND CONSTRUCTION) OF THE MUNICIPAL CODE OF THE CITY OF MORGAN HILL, AND ADOPTING CHAPTER 15.44 (Fire Prevention Code) OF TITLE 15 (BUILDINGS AND CONSTRUCTION) OF THE MUNICIPAL CODE OF THE CITY OF MORGAN HILL, THEREBY ADOPTING BY REFERENCE AS THE FIRE CODE OF THE CITY OF MORGAN HILL THE TEXT OF THE 2001 CALIFORNIA FIRE CODE, AND THE 2000 EDITION OF THE UNIFORM FIRE CODE AS PUBLISHED BY THE WESTERN FIRE CHIEFS ASSOCIATION, INCLUDING APPENDICES I-C, II-A, II-B, II-C, II-D, II-I, II-J, III-A, III-B, III-C, III-D, IV-A, V-A, VI-A, VI-B, VI-C AND VI-J, AS AMENDED BY THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, EXCEPT SUCH PORTIONS AS ARE HEREINAFTER DELETED, MODIFIED OR AMENDED REGARDING FIRE PREVENTION AND TOXIC GASES.

## 16. ADOPT ORDINANCE NO. 1613, NEW SERIES

Action: Waived the Reading, and Adopted Ordinance No. 1612, New Series, and Declared That Said Title, Which Appears on the Public Agenda, Shall be Determined to Have Been Read by Title and Further Reading Waived; Title as Follows: AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MORGAN HILL DELETING CHAPTER 2.48 (Personnel Commission) OF TITLE 2 (Administration and Personnel) OF THE MUNICIPAL CODE OF THE CITY OF MORGAN HILL ESTABLISHING THE PERSONNEL COMMISSION.

City of Morgan Hill Joint Special & Regular City Council and Special Redevelopment Agency Meeting Minutes – April 16, 2003 Page - 10 –

- 17. <u>APPROVED SPECIAL CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES OF MARCH 26, 2003</u> <u>REGARDING ABAG-MTC MERGER</u>
- 18. <u>APPROVED SPECIAL CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES OF MARCH 26, 2003</u> REGARDING CHANNEL LINEUP CHANGE
- 19. <u>APPROVED SPECIAL CITY COUNCIL CLOSED SESSION MEETING MINUTES OF</u> MARCH 27, 2003
- 20. <u>APPROVED SPECIAL CITY COUNCIL COURTHOUSE WORKSHOP MEETING MINUTES OF MARCH 27, 2003</u>

# Redevelopment Agency Action

## **CONSENT CALENDAR:**

### 21. TRAIN DEPOT BUILDING RENTAL

Council Member Sellers commented that he concurred with the staff report, and offered his assistance and expertise in working out the final contract. He is excited about the prospect and feels it is long overdue.

Mayor Kennedy noted the location of the train depot and the construction of pedestrian crossing over tracks. This has been a long term project to connect the downtown to those who use Caltrain and the parking lot on the East side of the tracks. He is very pleased that this is finally happening.

Council Member Chang commented that she considers this a great establishment.

<u>Action:</u> On a motion by Agency Member Tate, and seconded by Agency Member Sellers, the Agency Board unanimously (5-0) <u>Directed</u> Staff to Negotiate a Rental Agreement for the City's Train Depot Building with Brett and Lynn Susidko, dba Café Y'a Bon An Expresso Bar, LLC.

# City Council and Redevelopment Agency Action

#### **CONSENT CALENDAR:**

- 22. <u>APPROVED SPECIAL AND REGULAR REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY AND SPECIAL CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES OF MARCH 26, 2003</u>
- 23. <u>APPROVEDSPECIAL AND REGULAR CITY COUNCIL AND SPECIAL REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY MEETING MINUTES OF APRIL 2, 2003</u>

City of Morgan Hill Joint Special & Regular City Council and Special Redevelopment Agency Meeting Minutes – April 16, 2003 Page - 11 –

# City Council Action

## **PUBLIC HEARINGS:**

# 24. <u>DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT AMENDMENT DAA 00-09: LLAGAS-DELCO AND DAA 00-10: HALE-DELCO</u>

Director of Community Development Bischoff presented the staff report.

Mayor Kennedy opened the public hearing.

Dick Oliver stated that he was present as the developer to answer any questions the Council may have. The Council had not questions for Mr. Oliver.

No other comments being offered, the public hearing was closed.

Action: On a motion by Council Member Tate, and seconded by Council Member Sellers, the

Council unanimously (5-0) <u>Waived</u> the Reading in Full of Ordinance No. 1614, New

Series for Development Agreement Amendment, DAA-00-09: Llagas-Delco.

<u>Action:</u> On a motion by Council Member Tate, and seconded by Council Member Sellers, the

Council <u>Introduced</u> Ordinance No. 1614, New Series, by Title, as Follows: AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MORGAN HILL APPROVING AN AMENDMENT TO ORDINANCE NO. 1523, NEW SERIES, TO AMEND THE DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT TO INCORPORATE AN EXCEPTION TO LOSS OF BUILDING ALLOCATION FOR APPLICATION MP 00-09: LLAGAS-DELCO. (APN 764-32-005), by the following roll call vote: AYES: Carr,

Chang, Kennedy, Sellers, Tate; NOES: None; ABSTAIN: None; ABSENT: None.

<u>Action:</u> On a motion by Council Member Tate, and seconded by Council Member Sellers, the

Council unanimously (5-0) <u>Waived</u> the Reading in Full Ordinance No. 1615, New Series

for Development Agreement Amendment, DAA-00-10: Hale-Delco.

Action: On a motion by Council Member Tate, and seconded by Council Member Sellers, the

Council <u>Introduced</u> Ordinance No. 1615, New Series, by Title, as Follows: AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MORGAN HILL APPROVING AN AMENDMENT TO ORDINANCE NO. 1523, NEW SERIES, TO AMEND THE DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT TO INCORPORATE AN EXCEPTION TO LOSS OF BUILDING ALLOCATION FOR APPLICATION MP 00-10: HALE -DELCO (APNS 764-32-012 & 013), by the following roll call vote: AYES: Carr, Chang, Kennedy, Sellers, Tate; NOES: None; ABSTAIN: None; ABSENT: None.

City of Morgan Hill Joint Special & Regular City Council and Special Redevelopment Agency Meeting Minutes – April 16, 2003 Page - 12 –

# City Council Action

## **OTHER BUSINESS:**

## 25. AQUATICS COMPLEX OPERATING MODEL

Senior Project Manager Dumas presented the staff report on behalf of the Aquatics Complex Sub-Committee.

Mayor Kennedy, as a member of the Sub-committee, reported that the sub-committee met on several occasions, and considered the various alternatives presented to them. The one proposal that they are recommending appeared to be the most favorable. He stated the concern about leasing out the six acre site to an outside company was that it would be inconsistent with the agreement the City had with the property owner. The proposal included what had been described as a mini-Raging Waters type of facility that would draw many visitors from the entire region. It would be under the control of the outside company, and would be much more of a recreational facility than was originally envisioned for the site. Also there had been four other large water slide projects of this type previously proposed for the north end of Morgan Hill, which had been opposed by many of the local residents because of concern about noise and visual aesthetics. So, the sub-committee ruled out that option because it was too inconsistent with what they had been working on.

Mayor Kennedy stated they also studied contracting out to an entity that would do the whole project, but as they reviewed this they determined that it would take more time to develop that operating model, and there was not enough time to proceed with that model.

Mayor Kennedy reported that the sub-committee determined that by having the City operate the facility initially, experience would be gained regarding operating costs and what it takes to operate such a facility. The proposed combined operation with the Aquatic Foundation, which was the only organization willing to contract for this type of service, would give the City insight into how well that organization is able to operate such a facility. He stated that the sub-committee felt that the costs presented are realistic, and may even be conservative. Reducing the months of winter operation can help cut back on the facility costs.

Council Member Carr, also a member of the Sub-committee, reported that the recommendations of the Sub-committee are based around three ideals:

1) Design of a center for the greatest community benefit; both recreation and competition. Some of the very few proposals they received from outside groups interested in operating the center had either drastic, or in some cases minor changes, to the design and the sub-committee felt that it was already designed for the created community benefit, and they wanted to stick with that concept.

City of Morgan Hill Joint Special & Regular City Council and Special Redevelopment Agency Meeting Minutes – April 16, 2003 Page - 13 –

- 2) Accountability to be with the City, and not with some outside operator, at least at the beginning. The City should make the decision to operate the facility for at least the first year. This gives the City the opportunity to evaluate operations, and possibly change that model for the future.
- 3) Maximize return on the investment and lower risk. This is where the hybrid model came in. The provision of greatest community benefit is to provide both recreation and competition uses. The reality is that the recreation facilities will not be utilized in the winter months because it will be too cold. Because the Aquatics Foundation has expertise in the area of competition, the sub-committee felt it would be a good idea to try and design a model that would allow the City to work with them on how to keep the facility open for competition purposes on a year round basis.

Council Member Carr also stated that there was a great deal of time spent studying this to get a true understanding of the issues involved. He stated that the cost figures are conservative, and that is what they should be when thinking of this type of project.

Council Member Chang asked how many months of the year will the City operate the Center.

Mayor Kennedy responded that the basic recreational months are the months of May, June, July, August and September. These months typically have the best weather and the demand for a recreation facility is the greatest. The other months are when the competition pools would be more expensive to operate, and the facility must rely on swim teams, swim meets, competition, water polo and other types of competitive activities.

Council Member Carr concurred. He restated that SMG had presented operating models of 3, 9, and 12 months. At three months it would actually bring in a little bit of income to the City. With a little bit of work and being aggressive, the City could break even with a four month window. The other eight months would be primarily competition time for the Center, and not recreation time, so the needs of those other eight months would need to be negotiated to determine what operations are required for the Center to be open for competition uses, and whether the Aquatic Foundation or the City would be running the Center for those months.

Council Member Chang asked for clarification as to whether the citizens would have access to the facility during the months that are considered to be non-recreational months.

Council Member Carr stated that he believes so, but that it needs to be determined whether it makes sense to keep the recreation pool open when it is rainy and cold. It needs to be determined what the access Morgan Hill citizens will be seeking during those months; perhaps lap swimming or Masters Swimming, or kids in the swim club. Morgan Hill citizens will not be limited in their access, but their access will be based on what they actually want to be doing at that time of year and will be focused more towards teams, competition events and fitness; and it needs to be determined how to keep those uses open year round.

Council Member Chang asked if during the non-recreation months the Aquatics Foundation will be taking full responsibility for the operation costs.

City of Morgan Hill Joint Special & Regular City Council and Special Redevelopment Agency Meeting Minutes – April 16, 2003 Page - 14 –

Mayor Kennedy stated that it will be up to the City Manager to negotiate an agreement with the Aquatics Foundation.

City Manager Tewes stated that he has spoken with the President of the Aquatics Foundation to make sure they were in agreement with the recommendation that is being presented tonight, and they were willing to negotiate; but actual negotiations have not yet begun. The goal of the negotiations would be to provide the maximum number of months that the facility could remain open, and to recover the City's marginal costs of operations during those months.

Council Member Carr responded that Council Member Chang's scenario is one of many, and the negotiations will continue to work through.

Council Member Tate stated that he agrees with the recommendation predicated on the fact that the city is going to build an aquatic center, but that he has two problems. He stated that he questions the timing, because he does not think it is prudent in the current economic environment to proceed with this project this quickly. He stated that if we were going to do it, this is the right model. He stated that the projections on revenue are supposed to be conservative, but the times we are in make it questionable that we will meet these projections. He feels it is the time to step back and wait to see what is going to happen with the economy before going forward with this project.

Council Member Tate's second issue is the assumption that the Aquatics Center is the number one priority. He reviewed the minutes for March, and felt that Council Member Carr make a very good argument for taking the RDA projects and re-prioritizing them as a whole, which would include the Aquatics Center. He stated he does not see how the City is going to get the Senior and Youth Centers built; and he has been receiving a lot of feedback from the both the seniors and youth about their concerns that the facility to meet their needs will not be built. He stated that swimming is number one on his personal list, but does not know that it is number one on the community's list.

Council Member Tate also stated that he is concerned about, and disagrees with, a statement in the staff report that when the Aquatic Center is operating it may require some subsidy. He stated that if we are going to subsidize the Aquatics Foundation for running the pool for competition only, then we would have to subsidize other competitive sports. He stated that the City must insist that the Aquatic Foundation pay their full costs during the time they are operating the facility for competition only. That is the only item he disagrees with in the report. He thinks the approach is absolutely right, but he would not be supporting this item or the next item on the agenda because of the timing and prioritization issues.

Council Member Sellers stated it was not clear to him whether the negotiations with the Aquatics Foundation, which he agrees should proceed, will be returning to Council for approval.

City Manager Tewes reassured the Council that if they adopt the recommendation of the sub-committee, then negotiations would begin, and the formal contract would be brought before the council for approval.

City of Morgan Hill Joint Special & Regular City Council and Special Redevelopment Agency Meeting Minutes – April 16, 2003 Page - 15 –

Council Member Sellers concurred with Council Member Tate that it would be imprudent to proceed with the assumption that the City would be providing a subsidy, because he does not know that would be the case; and it would not be a good faith effort to proceed with that assumption.

Council Member Sellers also stated that he is concerned about the \$35,000 amount for start-up expenses. Based on the experience with the Community Center start-up, he feels that the marketing efforts are in direct correlation to the potential for success of the facility when it opens. He would like to make sure that enough is budgeted for this activity, because the benefits to the success are so direct.

City Manager Tewes reported that the estimated start-up costs were provided by the Recreation and Community Services Division Manager Spier, and was based on her experience with the funding for the opening of the Community and Cultural Center.

Council Member Sellers asked that Ms. Spier report back to the Council on this item as the opening gets closer, so if there is more funding needed it can be allocated in order to increase the chances of a successful marketing campaign.

Mayor Kennedy addressed the concerns raised by Council Member Tate that the City not be unfair to other groups in subsidizing the Aquatics Foundation. He stated that if there is a subsidy for competitive swimming, that the facility be open to the general public for recreation use as well; and that this would be part of the negotiated agreement. He stated that some subsidy is appropriate to provide this type of facility for the community.

Council Member Chang stated that she wants to find out the ultimate goal amount for the subsidy. She likes the idea of working with the Aquatic Foundation, and agrees that there are times when the City should subsidize certain community operations; with the Community Center being a prime example. But with the current economic times, and the City Manager and City Attorney taking a reduction in salary in order to meet the budget, she will have a problem supporting the kinds of subsidies outlined in Option 2 of the staff report. Asked for elaboration of what subsidy the sub-committee had in mind.

Mayor Kennedy responded that he favors Scenario 3, the nine-month operation; and that this and Scenario 4 are the two most appropriate choices. Scenario 2 is a twelve-month operating period and is the most costly in terms of operating expenses. The most favorable is Scenario 3, with subsidies dropping each of the first four years.

Council Member Carr stated that the numbers presented by SMG need to be kept in perspective. Scenario 2 is the worst case scenario. It is a twelve month, City-only operation, and contains no bid alternates. This model was not suggested as the model of choice by the sub-committee. He pointed out that each of the scenarios presented are the "worst case" versions. Even Scenario 3, which may be the best one, has the City operating the center for nine months of the year. Under sub-committee's recommendation, negotiations are to find the time frame to keep the center open enough to cover the marginal costs and have the center open for the purposes originally envisioned at the most acceptable cost and least risk to the City.

City of Morgan Hill Joint Special & Regular City Council and Special Redevelopment Agency Meeting Minutes – April 16, 2003 Page - 16 –

Council Member Chang agreed that they all want the best for the City and all want the Aquatic Center; but she is asking for a firm bottom line on what the subsidy amount will be per year?

Council Member Carr responded that at this time there is no answer to that question because negotiations have not yet occurred. When SMG assembled their report, they were not asked to include scenarios for hybrid models or partnerships with local foundations that have the ability to raise funds on their own and bring in outside resources to support the facility. There is nothing in the current staff report that reflects those possibilities, and there will not be an answer until negotiations have been undertaken.

Council Member Chang explained that she is not looking for a firm answer, but only for a goal.

Mayor Kennedy stated that he believes the goal of the Aquatics Center is to provide the maximum amount of public access for both recreational and competitive swimming and water sports at the minimum cost to the City. He stated that he does not mean zero subsidies. That is the general goal and at this point the goal needs to be general.

Council Member Chang disagreed, and stated that for the benefit of the taxpayer, there needs to be some kind of a goal. For her \$100,000 a year subsidy is probably a good amount for her, but would not go any more than that.

Council Member Carr asked Council Member Chang if her goal was a maximum City subsidy would be \$100,000, while maximizing the amount of time the facility was open for Morgan Hill residents.

Council Member Chang responded that she prefers a zero subsidy, but at this moment she is willing to subsidize the Aquatic Center for \$100,000 per year; and she felt that was giving a lot of leeway and is workable. She stated that this is a reasonable goal; and wants to have a number set somewhere so that negotiations don't produce a \$300,000 a year deficit.

Mayor Kennedy stated that the sub-committee is not prepared to be that specific in setting a goal tonight. He stated that he has a clear understanding of Council Member Chang's position, and that after the negotiations with the Aquatic Center Foundation, that may be achievable. Stated that the information before the Council gives sufficient information on alternative operations that the Council can move forward with this; recognizing that there is always the capability to operate the facility at a point of breaking even if the City chooses to do that.

Mayor Kennedy stated that he believes there is a very broad public need for both recreational and competitive swimming facilities. He stated that there has been a lot of input from citizens about the desire to see this facility built. He also stated that there are many good reasons to build it, including economic reasons, because it will bring in revenue to the community through restaurants and hotel use by visitors to the community. He feels that this is a good time because it helps to infuse revenue potential into the city overall by providing a stimulus for tourism. He feels the timing is right because the City will be able to get some very attractive bids submitted for the project because of the downturn in the economy.

City of Morgan Hill Joint Special & Regular City Council and Special Redevelopment Agency Meeting Minutes – April 16, 2003 Page - 17 –

Council Member Chang asked when the negotiations will be completed and is it possible to have the partnership negotiation completed before the City goes out to bid in June.

City Manager Tewes responded that the City does have the option to operate the Center for as long the Council desires. His own goal is to delay the beginning of the negotiations until the services of the Aquatic Center Director are retained toward the end of the current calendar year. He stated that he does not anticipate lengthy negotiations, so that there would be a decision well before the facility opened; but it is important to both the City and the Foundation to understand the capabilities of each other as they develop the partnership. He stated he feels more comfortable holding those negotiations after the hiring of the full time Aquatics Director on staff.

Council Member Chang stated that she will not be able to accept a \$300,000 deficit, and she will not be able to support going out to bid until she can be reassured that the amount will be \$100,000 or less, or even a zero subsidy. Then she will be able to support the project.

Council Member Sellers noted that the public comments have not yet been heard, and asked the Mayor if the public comments would be opened before further discussion by the Council.

Mayor Kennedy opened the floor to public comment.

Ms. Martine Kapetanic spoke in favor of the Aquatic Center. She stated that this is a business that will be run by the City, but it is also a service that is owed to the community. It will be there for recreation purposes, just like a park, and when you are building something like this you never can know if it will make or lose money. When you start a business, you can expect to be in the red for the first five years. Feels that the City needs to look at this not only as a business, but also as a service. The Aquatics Foundation will do their best to market the facility. Some community members have stressed that they think it should remain within the community, but that closes the doors to options for bringing in other revenue. She feels that it should be available to the tri-county area to increase options for revenue.

She also stated that because the calendar year is being divided between recreation and competition, the public is getting the impression that the facility won't be available for them year round, and that is not true. She stated there is a need to make the public aware that they will be able to swim any time they want, and not just during the warm season. This facility is not being built just for the swim teams. She hopes the Council will keep these issues in mind during their discussions.

Mr. Geno Acevedo spoke in favor. Requested reaffirmation of decisions made in the past regarding the minimum depth of the pools, which would affect their ability to market the facility. Feels that the architect is under the impression that the 50 meter pool would be 4-1/2 foot depth, and he was under the impression that the Council had voted that it would be a 7 foot depth.

Mayor Kennedy stated that the design is for both depths.

City of Morgan Hill Joint Special & Regular City Council and Special Redevelopment Agency Meeting Minutes – April 16, 2003 Page - 18 –

Mr. Acevedo stated that Council Member Carr made some very good arguments, and that he is in support of all the arguments made by Council Member Carr. When the Aquatic Foundation negotiates, they will negotiate in good faith with the goal to make the Center operate either at zero cost to the City, or as low a cost as possible, depending on the overall goals set during the negotiations.

No further comments being offered, Mayor Kennedy closed the public comment.

Council Member Tate stated that he wanted to respond to Mayor Kennedy's argument that this is a fortuitous time for going to bid to get the lowest cost. He wanted to remind the Council that just three months ago, the City added \$2.7 million dollars to the budget; and when they get to Agenda Item 26 for going to bid on the Aquatic Center, the staff report has a clear statement that their preliminary estimates indicate that the previous estimates of pool costs could be low. He sees no indication that costs are going down, but that the costs are going up just like on the Community Center. He would be more comfortable if we were in more certain times.

Mayor Kennedy stated that the Aquatic Subcommittee has met on that issue and the design will be modified to bring it within the budget. He stated that this was guaranteed.

Council Member Chang asked why the hybrid model was chosen rather than have the Aquatic Foundation operate the entire facility.

Mayor Kennedy stated that the Aquatic Center Foundation specializes in competitive swimming. City Recreational staff have expertise in recreational uses. This model actually uses the best expertise of both.

Council Member Carr stated that we have set the precedent that the City wants to operate the facilities the City is building, and not have them operated by another agency. The subcommittee has presented ideas that are about ways of keeping the Center open for the entire community for the longest period of the year. When he speaks of the recreation uses being closed for part of the year, he is speaking of things like the slides and splash ponds which would be too cold to use in the winter months. He is not saying that you have to be a competitive swimmer to use the pools in the winter, but does not feel that we should keep the recreational areas traditionally used by children open during the winter months on the chance that one child may want to come and use them. The City should not keep pools open that people are not going to be using. The 50 meter pool would be open for recreational, fitness, or competitive swimming all year. The Aquatic Foundation's expertise will be put to use in keeping the pools open that people will use through competitions. Whether the pools will be open 9 or 12 months will need to be negotiated at a later date. The Council tonight is being asked to approve the Subcommittee's recommendation to go forward with the negotiation on those types of parameters for the operation of the facility.

Mayor Kennedy explained that the four scenarios they are reviewing are all City operations. There is no overlay showing what this operation will cost, but he expects it would be less costly than any of the four scenarios presented. The recreational pool with the water slide is set up for use in the summer months, and is just too cold for use in the winter months. The splash pond is the same situation. The training

City of Morgan Hill Joint Special & Regular City Council and Special Redevelopment Agency Meeting Minutes – April 16, 2003 Page - 19 –

pool and the 50 meter pool would be for recreational swimming, fitness, and competition and open all year.

City Manager Tewes stated that the hybrid model would cost less than any outlined in the staff report.

Council Member Sellers stated that this issue was before the Council this evening so that decisions can be made, and so the general concept can be put in place to allow the project to proceed on several fronts. His concern is that they are being asked to approve in general terms a budget for the 2003-2004 budget before they have approved the 2003-2004 budget. He asked the City Manager if the budget discussions determine that there needs to be a change, is the Council creating a problem by doing this tonight.

City Manager Tewes stated that the Council has adopted the Aquatic Center as the number one priority project, and has asked that construction be accelerated in order to be open on May 31, 2004. In order to meet that goal, staff and marketing expenses need to be incurred next fiscal year; and based on Council direction in that regard, this will be included in the budget. All the budget cuts will have to be made from other areas. The City is not authorized to spend the money until the budget is adopted by the Council.

Council Member Sellers further commented on the project that there has been significant early criticism that this was going to be a regional project, bringing in people from other areas, and the focus would be regional. He has known this was not correct when it was first stated, and it has been stated repeatedly, and it is still wrong. This proposal tonight is concrete evidence that this was the wrong assumption. The Aquatics Subcommittee has presented a scenario that assumes local control, local focus, local orientation; understanding that there needs to be some broader reach to open things up a little bit, but the main focus is on the local residents needs being met.

Council Member Sellers stated he is confident to proceed with this project. He feels that promises have been made to the community and it is time to move forward to fulfill those promises. He does not place this in a higher priority than the Indoor Recreation Center, because in his mind they are both highest priority. The opportunity to proceed on the Aquatics Center is now before the Council, and that is the project that is the one that should be looked at now; and as soon as the opportunity to proceed on the Indoor Recreation Center presents itself he will be pushing to move forward on that.

He also feels confident that the City has the resources to proceed on both projects and meet all the commitments made to the RDA. On this project, the numbers have been consistently around a \$250,000 subsidy for at least the first year. He knows that the money is available in the excessive reserves held by the City Council. He will only approve this tonight, subject to taking those funds out of the excessive reserves. Morgan Hill has higher reserves than any other city in the County or the region, and that will continue to be the case even if they are lowered to 40%; and we are only talking about lowering it to 40% in five years. He feels it is an abrogation of their duties to sit on that kind of money, and then talk about the kind of cuts they are going to be talking about, and/or not proceeding on what he considers to be a vital project. He is predicating his support on the budget discussion to be held a month from now, where the Council will be looking at that; and he is going to say that this \$250,000 needs to come out of

City of Morgan Hill Joint Special & Regular City Council and Special Redevelopment Agency Meeting Minutes – April 16, 2003 Page - 20 –

the reserves. He will withdraw his support if the Council continues to feel that the City needs to have such a high reserve policy.

He also wants to increase the marketing funds, because he thinks that will increase the benefits.

And finally, he will find it hard to support the Aquatics Foundation moving forward if there needs to be a subsidy for that portion. He stated that Martine was absolutely right that any business start-up is initially in the red, so he would like to look at a long term plan where if the Aquatic Foundation is required to provide additional revenue in the first few years in order to achieve their and the City's goals, that it be looked at long term so that the income derived in subsequent years would more than offset that. He asked the Committee to keep that in mind as they negotiate with the Aquatic Foundation because he would have trouble subsidizing that portion given the City's financial situation. He would rather have the Master swimmers pay an extra dollar for each visit or something to make sure that the City is not subsidizing during those months during the initial years.

Council Member Chang seconded that motion for discussion. She asked for clarification of his point that we would use the reserves for the start up cost, but there would be no subsidy later on.

Council Member Sellers responded that all the models require a subsidy, even though he does not call it a subsidy. He does not call police services a subsidy to the Police Department. He is comfortable adding another \$100,000, or that range they are talking about, to the annual operating costs of the Aquatic Center; and by reducing the 40% reserve level we can adequately include that annual subsidy for the next few years.

Council Member Chang stated that the reserve level issue will have to be visited again. She does not mind using the reserve for the start up costs, but is concerned about the operational costs from year to year. She asked if he is thinking it will be approximately \$100,000 per year.

Council Member Sellers stated he does not think in such specific terms. He was providing his input of his thoughts on what the subcommittee should consider when setting their goals, and is not comfortable giving a specific number because it is premature.

Council Member Tate stated he agrees with Council Member Sellers on the reserve levels. He feels a general philosophy for the use of the reserves is to use it for this type of thing. However, he wanted to remind the Council that the City Manager told them earlier this evening that things are planned out which will allow for a soft budget landing with some assumptions about what will be happening from the State that we will know a lot more about in a year's time, and that might affect the 40% reserve level as well.

Council Member Carr asked for clarification on his motion, as to whether he meant to dip into the 40% reserves for the start up costs, so as not to affect the soft landing of the budget.

Council Member Tate stated that if it is a hard landing and not a soft landing, the 40% reserve has already been affected. That is his precaution.

City of Morgan Hill Joint Special & Regular City Council and Special Redevelopment Agency Meeting Minutes – April 16, 2003 Page - 21 –

Council Member Sellers stated that he feels that when looking back at the history of the community and the State, even if we have the hardest landing conceivable, the City is adequately prepared to deal with that.

Council Member Tate asked that the different items up for action be considered individually.

On a motion by Council Member Sellers, and seconded by Council Member Tate, the City Action:

Council unanimously (5-0) Accepted the Report from Sub-Committee on Model for

Operation and Maintenance of Aquatics Complex Based on First Year of Operation.

Council Member Sellers made a motion, seconded by Council Member Chang to approve Action:

the Sub-committee's recommendation to Negotiate a Partnership Operating Model Between the Aquatic Foundation, Inc. and the City for the Off-Season Period, with the Understanding that the Partnership will not Require Additional City Funding Subsidy.

Council Member Tate requested discussion of this motion. He stated he totally supports the motion, but does not support doing it now. He would support doing it next year.

Council Member Carr stated that the vote clearly implies an opening date of May 31, 2004.

Council Member Tate asked that this be made part of the motion.

Action: At the request of Council Member Tate, this motion was amended by Council Member

Sellers to include an Opening Date of May 31, 2004. Council Member Chang withdrew

her second to this amended motion.

Council Member Chang stated that the addition of the date makes it difficult for her to support the motion, and she withdrew her second.

Council Member Tate stated that he could not support the motion with the addition of the date. But he felt that the subcommittee clarified that they implied the date, so he felt it needed to be part of the motion.

On a motion by Council Member Sellers, and seconded by Council Member Tate, the Action:

City Council Approved (3-1-1, Tate No; Chang; Abstaining) the Sub-Committee's recommendation to Negotiate a Partnership Operating Model Between the Aquatic Foundation, Inc. and the City for the Off-Season Period, with the Understanding that the Partnership will not Require Additional City Funding Subsidy; and with an Opening

*Date of May 31, 2004.* 

On a motion by Council Member Sellers, and seconded by Council Member Carr, the Action:

City Council (Voted 3-2, with Tate and Chang voting No) **Directed** Staff to Include the

City of Morgan Hill Joint Special & Regular City Council and Special Redevelopment Agency Meeting Minutes – April 16, 2003 Page - 22 –

Start-Up Costs for the Aquatics Complex in the 2003-2004 Fiscal Year Budget, with These Funds to be Taken From the 40% Reserves.

Council Member Chang commented that she would have voted for it, if it was just taking out from the reserve; but the 40% reserve is an issue that was previously discussed for a long time. The policy was set by the Council, and it is inappropriate to discuss the taking of these funds from the 40% reserve at this time. She would have happily voted for the funds to be taken from the reserve, because there is 30% of the reserve in the budget right now; and feels that this is doing it incorrectly.

Action:

On a motion by Council Member Sellers, and seconded by Council Member Carr, the City Council (Vote: 4-1, with Tate voting No) <u>Directed</u> Staff to Begin Recruitment for an Aquatics Manager, with Hiring of Staff to Begin in November 2003.

# 26. MORGAN HILL AQUATICS COMPLEX POOL BID PACKAGE AND REQUEST FOR BID AUTHORIZATION

Senior Project Manager Dumas presented the staff report.

Council Member Chang asked when the Council will know the overall costs for this project.

Mr. Dumas responded that the bids for the pools are scheduled for around May 22, 2003; and approximately July 1, 2003, the general construction bids for the entire remainder of the project will be received. He explained that if they wait until July 1 to bid the entire package, then the contract will have to be negotiated with the general contractor; and then once the contract is in place, then the pool subcontractor will start his shop drawings and his architectural approval. This would delay the start of digging of the pools by about 1-1/2 months, which moves the pool work more into the winter months where there is a chance of more rain delays. The reason they are bidding it separately is to try and build as much pool work as they can this summer.

Council Member Chang asked what the Council will do if on July 1, the entire project is over \$13 million.

Mr. Dumas stated that the project would be reviewed to determine what single elements could be pulled out and value engineered to pull some costs out. Some of the design elements, such as sun screens, wind screens, solar heating, etc., could be pulled out and put back in at a later date.

Mayor Kennedy asked if in the public sector negotiations with the low bidder can be held to remove items out of the project.

City Manager Tewes stated that this is not possible in the public sector. The City is required to award the bid to the lowest responsible bidder, or to reject all bids and go out to bid again. With the Community Center, it was predicted that the cost at the bid level would exceed the budget, and we had reassurances that the contractor would negotiate with us in good faith following award of the bid to

City of Morgan Hill Joint Special & Regular City Council and Special Redevelopment Agency Meeting Minutes – April 16, 2003 Page - 23 –

negotiate change orders which might reduce the costs; and we were able to do that. But the City cannot negotiate prior to the award of the bid.

City Attorney Leichter stated that in the public sector you cannot pull items which have been included as part of the bid. It would have to be re-bid or put in the bid as a bid alternate.

Mayor Kennedy noted that at this point there are about 3 or 4 bid alternates that could be removed. Perhaps we might want to add something else as a bid alternate to provide flexibility should we be faced with that type of situation. We could choose not to proceed with the bid alternates (i.e., solar heating, or one of the pools) or some major feature.

Mr. Dumas explained that he did not mean that the item would be pulled out after the bid. He meant that once the pool bids are received they would have a more real time cost. At that time, they would be able to value engineer the project and put in bid alternates that could be bid separately.

Mayor Kennedy opened the floor to public comment.

No comments being offered, Mayor Kennedy closed the public comment.

Council Member Sellers noted that most of the questions were answered in the staff report.

Council Member Chang stated that she feels very uncomfortable about the piece meal approach to this project.

Action:

On a motion by Council Member Sellers and seconded by Council Member Carr, the City Council (4-0-1, with Tate voting No) <u>Authorized</u> Staff to Proceed with the Bidding for the Aquatics Complex Pools and Rough Grading.

# 27. <u>CONTINUED DISCUSSION OF SCHEDULING WORKSHOPS FOR IDENTIFIED TOPICS/COMMISSION INTERVIEWS</u>

City Manager Tewes presented the staff report. He reported that the Director of Public Works will be unavailable on April 23 to present the issue of the City's domestic water system, and asked if it could be scheduled as part of the workshop process on April 30. Council agreed.

Council Member Sellers stated that he feels the four items listed as topics for discussion under the 2003 Council Goals fall under a longer term projects, and does not feel that there needs to be a specific date identified for discussion. The Council already has so much on their schedule over the next few months, that he is a little anxious about adding more any projects further out. He would like to wait until after the budget is passed, and then in June go over that list again and determine a schedule at that time.

Council Member Sellers asked about the Economic Development Strategy subcommittee and the status of their report back to the Council.

City of Morgan Hill Joint Special & Regular City Council and Special Redevelopment Agency Meeting Minutes – April 16, 2003 Page - 24 –

Council Member Tate responded that the subcommittee is almost ready for a presentation, and had been planning to bring it to the April 23 meeting.

City Manager Tewes suggested that the report be distributed at the meeting of April 23, and brought back for discussion on May 7, when Council Member Tate will have returned. During the intervening time period, the recommended strategy could be shared with other groups in the community.

Council Member Tate agreed that this was a good idea.

Council Member Carr stated that he wanted to have the 2003 Council Goal items discussed prior to adoption of the budget, but he does not see how that can be done now. He hoped that the Council could discuss how to schedule workshops in the future. Perhaps do one or two workshops a month, and try to get them on our calendars now, even if they don't actually get held, at least they would be on the calendars in advance. It is a problem that they have all these wonderful ideas, but now can't find time to schedule them. Perhaps set aside two hours before on the day of the regular meetings when they will hold a workshop. The topic can be set later, but just set aside the two hours, so that when the Council sets their goals at their retreats they will be able to get them on set dates throughout the year on the calendar. Council Member Carr suggested these be scheduled in advance for the year, so there is not this jumble of trying to get things on their schedules as the year progresses.

Council determined that staff should return with suggestions for scheduling two-hour workshops before the 2<sup>nd</sup> regularly scheduled Council meeting when the agenda is light so they do not have to continue deliberations late into the night; or to hold it from 4 to 6 p.m. on the 2<sup>nd</sup> Wednesday of the month, but must be ended by 6 p.m.; or the possibility of the 4<sup>th</sup> Wednesday as an additional alternative.

#### Action:

The City Council <u>Directed</u> Staff to set the following dates for City Council Workshops:

- 1) April 30, 2003 2 hours for Housing Workshop and 1 hour for staff presentation on City's Domestic Water System.
- 2) Schedule a time in June, after the Budget is approved, to go over the four items listed for discussion under the 2003 Council goals and see if the Council are ready at that time to set dates for discussion of these topics.
- 3) Economic Development Strategy will be distributed on April 23, 2003, and brought before the Council for discussion on May 7, 2003.
- 4) Staff to provide a suggested schedule of workshops, using the following guidelines for scheduling:
  - a) If the agenda is light, schedule for the hours of 4-6 p.m. prior to the  $2^{nd}$  regularly scheduled Council meeting on the third Wednesday of the month.

City of Morgan Hill Joint Special & Regular City Council and Special Redevelopment Agency Meeting Minutes – April 16, 2003 Page - 25 –

- b) Schedule on the second Wednesday of the month between the hours of 4-6 p.m., but must end by 6 p.m.
- c) Schedule on the evening of the 4<sup>th</sup> Wednesday of the month, as a possible alternative.

#### Action:

The City Council <u>Directed</u> Staff to set the following dates for Commission Interviews:

- 1) ARB and Planning Commission terms to be extended beyond June 1, 2003, if the City Attorney determines this is feasible, to allow the interviews to take place on July 2 or July 23, 2003.
- 2) Library and Parks and Recreation Commission interviews will take place on April 23, with interviews continuing on April 30 for applicants who are not available for interviews on April 23, 2003.
- 3) Council appointed Council Members Carr and Sellers to serve as a subcommittee to conduct interviews for the Mobile Home Rent Commission and report back to the full Council with recommendations for appointments.

# City Council and Redevelopment Agency Action

### **OTHER BUSINESS:**

## 28. <u>INVESTMENT POLICY UPDATE</u>

Director of Finance and Risk Management Dilles requested that he be allowed to pull this item from the agenda and bring it back to the Council at a later date, due to a potential internal inconsistency in the policy as it exists now that might be compounded with the proposed changes. He believes it is an easy fix, but wants to get facts straight and make certain of it. He proposed to bring it back in May on the same evening that the Finance and Audit Committee meeting is scheduled to meet, to inform the Finance and Audit Committee is familiar for their proposal, and then bring it back to the Council.

<u>Action:</u> This item pulled from the agenda by the Director of Finance and Risk Management Dilles.

#### FUTURE COUNCIL-INITIATED AGENDA ITEMS

No items were identified.

City of Morgan Hill Joint Special & Regular City Council and Special Redevelopment Agency Meeting Minutes – April 16, 2003 Page - 26 –

# **ADJOURNMENT**

There being no further business, Mayor/Chairman Kennedy adjourned the meeting at 9:54 P.M.

MINUTES RECORDED AND PREPARED BY:

MOIRA MALONE, DEPUTY CITY CLERK